## This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

Remarks

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed August

6, 2003.

I. <u>Summary of Examiner's Rejections</u>

Prior to the Office Action mailed August 6, 2003, Claims 1-14, 16-17, and 19-22 were

pending in the Application. In the Office Action mailed August 6, 2003, Claims 1, 4-11, 13-14, 16-17,

19, and 21-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Levinson et al. (U.S.

Patent No. 6,299,388, hereinafter Levinson). Claims 1-14, 16-17 and 19-22 were rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorgerson et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,669,692, hereinafter

Thorgerson) or Mori (U.S. Patent No. 4,510,555), in view of Caldwell (U.S. Patent No. 4,626,068),

and in further view of Levinson.

II. Summary of Applicant's Amendments

The present Response amends Claims 1, 16, and 21, leaving for the Examiner's present

consideration Claims 1-14, 16-17, and 19-22. Reconsideration of the application as amended is

respectfully requested. Applicant reserves the right to prosecute any original or canceled claims

in a continuing or future application.

III. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

In the Office Action mailed August 6, 2003, Claims 1, 4-11, 13-14, 16-17, 19, and 21-22 were

rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Levinson (U.S. Patent No. 6,299,388).

Claim 1

Claim 1 has been amended by the present Response to more clearly define the embodiment

of the invention therein. As amended, Claim 1 defines:

- 5 -

Application No.: 09/855,254 Amendment dated: February 6, 2004 Reply to OA dated: August 6, 2003

## 1. (Currently Amended) A light source comprising:

a substrate and a phosphor material that has a waveguide formed therein, the waveguide having a substantially planar shape and further having a waveguide direction along a longitudinal dimension and an exit region at an end of the longitudinal dimension; and

an excitation source that applies excitation energy to the waveguide in a direction substantially sheer or perpendicular to the plane of the waveguide such that light is generated within the phosphor material in a direction within or parallel to the waveguide direction, and exits through the exit region.

Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1, as amended, is neither anticipated by nor obvious in view of the cited references.

Particularly, Applicant respectfully submits that the embodiment defined by Claim 1 is different from that disclosed in Levinson. Levinson discloses a decorative lighting apparatus, including a transmissive body 130 to which a light source 110 is coupled. (Column 2, lines 28-48). Substantially all of the light is transmitted through the transmissive body 130 by total internal reflection. (Column 2, lines 60-64). Formed on the transmissive body is a plurality of regions of luminescent material 140, for example a phosphor region. (Column 2, lines 66-67). The light propagates through the transmissive body until it is incident on one of the phosphor regions. The phosphor absorbs some fraction of the light and emits light of a different spectrum which is directed outside of the transmissive body 130 to produce a decorative effect. (Column 3, lines 6-14). Thus, Levinson discloses a system in which excitation energy (incident light) is directed through a transmissive conduit or light guide, to initiate a reaction and produce output light, wherein the output light is directed outside, i.e. emitted in a direction substantially perpendicular to the light guide.

Conversely, in the embodiment of the present invention defined by Claim 1, as amended, the operation of the waveguide is effectively the opposite of that of the device disclosed by Levinson. As defined by Claim 1, the light source includes a substrate and a phosphor material or film. The substrate and phosphor includes a waveguide formed within the phosphor, and having a substantially planar shape. Excitation energy is applied in a direction substantially sheer or perpendicular to the phosphor and the waveguide. The resulting generated light is generated within the plane of the phospher and the waveguide.

Application No.: 09/855,254 Amendment dated: February 6, 2004

Reply to OA dated: August 6, 2003

Thus, in the embodiment of the present invention defined by Claim 1, the waveguide is

formed within the plane of a phosphor material such as a film, which is different from the Levinson

design in which the light guide is a merely a light-transmissive body that carries light to the phosphor

regions. Furthermore, as defined by Claim 1, the excitation energy is applied sheer or perpendicular

to the waveguide and the resulting generated light is generated parallel to or within the plane of the

waveguide, whereas in the Levinson design the incident light is propagated through (i.e. within the

plane of) the transmissive body, and the output light is emitted perpendicular to the plane of the

transmissive body, via the phosphor regions.

Applicant respectfully submits that there would be no suggestion to modify the operation of

the device disclosed in Levinson so as to anticipate or otherwise render obvious the invention, since

the Levinson device requires the output light to be emitted at various points in a direction

perpendicular to the waveguide, to provide a decorative effect. Conversely, the present invention

allows the light to be generated within the plane of the waveguide and to be emitted only from a

single exit region, resulting in a light of high brightness. This is a different application from the device

disclosed in Levinson.

In view of the above comments, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1 is neither

anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, taken alone or in combination, and

reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 4-11, 13-14, and 17

The comments provided above with respect to Claim 1 are hereby incorporated by

reference. Claims 4-11, 13-14, and 17 depend from and include all of the limitations and features

of Claim 1. In view of the amendments to Claim 1 and accompanying remarks, Applicant

respectfully submits that Claims 4-11, 13-14, and 17 are similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious

in view of the cited references, taken alone or in combination, and reconsideration thereof is

respectfully requested.

- 7 -

Application No.: 09/855,254

Amendment dated: February 6, 2004

Reply to OA dated: August 6, 2003

Claim 16

The comments provided above with respect to Claim 1 are hereby incorporated by

reference. Claim 16 has been similarly amended to more clearly define the embodiment of the

invention therein. As amended, Claim 16 defines:

16. (Currently Amended) A light source comprising:

a phosphor film which has a substantially planar shape, and both a long dimension and a small cross-section, the phosphor film having at least one waveguide mode in the long

dimension; and

an excitation source that directs excitation energy at the phosphor film in a direction

substantially sheer or perpendicular to the direction of the long dimension such that light is generated in a wavequide mode within the long dimension and exits an exit region at the end

of the long dimension.

In view of the amendments to Claim 16 and the remarks provided above with respect to

Claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 16, as amended, is neither anticipated by nor

obvious in view of the cited references, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claim 19

Claim 19 depends from and includes all of the limitations and features of Claim 16. In view

of the amendments to Claim 16 and accompanying remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that

Claim 19 is similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, taken alone

or in combination, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claim 21

The comments provided above with respect to Claim 1 are hereby incorporated by

reference. Claim 21 has been similarly amended to more clearly define the embodiment of the

invention therein. As amended, Claim 21 defines:

- 8 -

Application No.: 09/855,254 Amendment dated: February 6, 2004

Reply to OA dated: August 6, 2003

21. (Currently Amended) A light source comprising:

a waveguide substrate having two dimensions on the order of a wavelength of emitted light, and a third dimension sufficiently long to produce a surface area on the order

of many square centimeters; and

a phosphor film disposed on the waveguide substrate and having a waveguide

direction parallel to the third dimension, the phosphor film having a guided mode such that excitation energy received by the phosphor film in a direction substantially sheer or

perpendicular to the waveguide direction generates light in the phosphor film that travels in

the phosphor film in the waveguide direction and exits as emitted light through an exit region

along the waveguide direction.

In view of the amendments to Claim 21 and the remarks provided above with respect to

Claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 21, as amended, is neither anticipated by nor

obvious in view of the cited references, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claim 22

Claim 22 depends from and includes all of the limitations and features of Claim 21. In view

of the amendments to Claim 21 and accompanying remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that

Claim 22 is similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, taken alone

or in combination, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

IV. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

In the Office Action mailed August 6, 2003, Claims 1-14, 16-17 and 19-22 were rejected

under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorgerson (U.S. Patent No. 5,669,692) or

Mori (U.S. Patent No. 4,510,555), in view of Caldwell (U.S. Patent No. 4,626,068), and in further view

of Levinson (U.S. Patent No. 6,299,388).

Claims 1-14, 17

The comments provided above with respect to Claim 1 are hereby incorporated by

reference. In view of the amendments to Claim 1 and accompanying remarks, Applicant

- 9 -

Application No.: 09/855,254 Amendment dated: February 6, 2004

Reply to OA dated: August 6, 2003

respectfully submits that Claim 1, and Claims 2-14, 17 dependent therefrom, are neither anticipated

by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, taken alone or in combination, and reconsideration

thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 16, 19-20

The comments provided above with respect to Claim 16 are hereby incorporated by

reference. In view of the amendments to Claim 16 and accompanying remarks, Applicant

respectfully submits that Claim 16, and Claims 19-20 dependent therefrom, are neither anticipated

by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, taken alone or in combination, and reconsideration

thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 21, 22

The comments provided above with respect to Claim 21 are hereby incorporated by

reference. In view of the amendments to Claim 21 and accompanying remarks, Applicant

respectfully submits that Claim 21, and Claim 22 dependent therefrom, are neither anticipated by,

nor obvious in view of the cited references, taken alone or in combination, and reconsideration

thereof is respectfully requested.

V. Additional Amendments

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the

subject patent application should be allowable, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in expediting

the issuance of a patent, and before an advisory action is issued in order to avoid any unnecessary

filing of an appeal.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for

extending the time to respond up to and including today, February 6, 2004.

- 10 -

Application No.: 09/855,254 Amendment dated: February 6, 2004 Reply to OA dated: August 6, 2003

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 6, 2004

Karl Kenna

Reg. No. 45, 445

FLIESLER MEYER LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4156

Telephone: (415) 362-3800